

TECHNICAL ARTICLE

Gel-free species identification using melt-curve analysis

OLIVER BERRY* and STEPHEN D. SARRE†

**Invasive Animals Cooperative Research Centre and School of Animal Biology (M092), The University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia*, †*Applied Ecology Research Group, University of Canberra, Canberra, ACT 2615, Australia*

Abstract

DNA-based identification of organisms is an important tool in biosecurity, ecological monitoring and wildlife forensics. Current methods usually involve post-polymerase chain reaction (PCR) manipulations (e.g. restriction digest, gel electrophoresis), which add to the expense and time required for processing samples, and may introduce error. We developed a method of species identification that uses species-specific primers and melt-curve analysis, and avoids post-PCR manipulation of samples. The method was highly accurate when trialled on DNA from six large carnivore species from Tasmania, Australia. Because of its flexibility and cost-effectiveness, this method should find wide use in many areas of applied biological science.

Keywords: DNA, melt-curve, real-time PCR, wildlife forensics

Received 15 June 2006; revision accepted 25 July 2006

Introduction

Species identification is a focus of biological surveys, human and wildlife forensics, ecological monitoring and biosecurity. Historically, species have been identified from morphological characters. However, this approach is impractical in many situations due to time constraints, the need for specialized taxonomic knowledge and the difficulty of species identification from partial or trace samples. DNA-based techniques based on the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) have brought tremendous advances in the efficiency and accuracy of species identification and are routinely used in many fields (e.g. Baker & Palumbi 1994; Liu *et al.* 1997; Mills *et al.* 2000).

Most DNA-based techniques for species identification involve multiple post-PCR manipulations of samples, such as sequencing reactions, restriction digests and gel electrophoresis before results can be obtained. These manipulations add time and cost to the processing of samples, and increase the chances of introducing human error and contamination. Here, we present a method for identifying species from DNA samples that offers advantages over existing methods because it requires no post-PCR manipulation of samples. The method utilizes species-specific primers

and melt-curve analysis (MCA) to distinguish species. Briefly, DNA fragments are PCR amplified from an unknown DNA sample using a pool of species-specific primers. Species are then identified by the diagnostic melt temperature (T_m) of their DNA fragment. The method makes use of the double-stranded DNA (dsDNA)-specific dye SYBR Green I (Molecular Probes), which shows a rapid loss of fluorescence as the temperature is raised above the sample's melt temperature. Since the T_m of a DNA fragment is determined by its nucleotide content and length, it is possible to design primers that will amplify DNA fragments with species-diagnostic melting temperatures.

We illustrate the method with an assay that distinguishes the six large (> 800 gram) mammalian carnivores that occur on the island of Tasmania, Australia. The species targeted include three native marsupials: tiger quoll (*Dasyurus maculatus*), eastern quoll (*Dasyurus viverrinus*), Tasmanian devil (*Sarcophilus harrisi*) and three exotic eutherian species: feral cat (*Felis catus*), feral dog (*Canis familiaris*) and the European red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*). Monitoring the distribution of these species is of particular interest to wildlife management agencies in Tasmania for the purposes of conservation of biodiversity and the protection of agricultural industries (Resource Planning and Development Commission 2003). The methods described here form part of a larger project that we are undertaking, which aims to monitor these species remotely from trace DNA samples.

Correspondence: Oliver Berry, Fax: +61 86488 1029; E-mail: ofb@cyllene.uwa.edu.au




Fig. 2 Melt-curve results for Tasmanian carnivorous mammals. *x*-axis shows temperature, *y*-axis shows results from various experimental treatments (a–e). (a) Dilution series, from bottom to top 50, 5, 0.5, 0.25, 0.125 ng DNA template used in PCR ($n = 2$ for each species). Star symbol indicates result obtained from scat DNA. (b) Five individuals of each species, each tested five times. (c) Multiple individuals of each species, one test each (left to right, $n = 29, 23, 25, 22, 12, 11$). (d) Mean \pm 95% confidence intervals for each species, triangle symbol represents data from mainland *Dasyurus maculatus*. (e) Examples of melt curves for each species. For melt curves the *Y*-axis scale is the smoothed negative first derivative of fluorescence with respect to temperature. It can be interpreted approximately as the rate of change in fluorescence as temperature increases.

Results and discussion

The MCA approach to species identification proved highly effective. Each carnivore species tested produced a highly consistent melting temperature (Fig. 2; coefficient of variance 0.06–0.12%, maximum intraspecific difference 0.35 °C), which ranged between a mean of 80.06 °C for the eastern quoll and a mean of 82.94 °C for the domestic cat. These results also held for assays on highly diluted samples and highly diluted and /or degraded scat DNA. Repeated assays on DNA from five individuals of each species also produced highly consistent T_m s (average difference between replicates 0.07 °C, range 0–0.2 °C), which is consistent with results from single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) genotyping studies that used the MCA approach (Wittwer *et al.* 2003).

The mean T_m for each species (determined above) was an accurate species-diagnostic 'benchmark', for all samples in the blind trial except the quolls, which could be distinguished from all other species, but not from one another. The two quolls consistently differed in their relative T_m within each MCA run. Failure to distinguish them was due to the small magnitude of the difference in their T_m s (*c.* 0.15 °C within runs), which was similar in magnitude to that observed within-individuals, between MCA runs (average 0.16 °C \pm 0.03SE, $n = 15$), making absolute T_m benchmarks problematic. We found that inclusion of positive controls was an effective way to account for interrun T_m

variation in these species. When positive controls were included and their T_m used as a species-diagnostic benchmark, all species, including the quolls were correctly identified. Therefore, although our results suggest that a mean T_m difference of 0.35 °C is sufficient to accommodate the combined interrun and within-species variation (here all species except the quolls), correct identification when differences are as low as 0.15 °C can be ensured by including positive controls for the species of interest. These values may vary according to the precision of the hardware used for MCA.

The ability to a priori predict whether DNA fragments will have species-specific T_m s would greatly improve the efficiency of designing an assay for new species. One approach to predicting whether species will have diagnostic T_m s is to use a T_m predicting algorithm. We found a strong correlation between observed and T_m predicted by a nearest-neighbour algorithm (Breslauer *et al.* 1986; $r = 0.94$). However, the difference between observed and predicted T_m was usually larger than the difference in T_m we observed between species (e.g. as low as 0.15 °C between the two quoll species), indicating that predictive algorithms cannot provide the precision necessary to identify whether DNA fragments will have species-diagnostic T_m s where those T_m s are very similar.

Given the above result, it is likely that some empirical testing will be required to determine the species specificity of T_m s. Our initial experiments showed that the dog DNA

fragment without a poly-C tail had an identical T_m to fox. We found that adding a C10 tail to the dog-specific primer was an effective way to increase the T_m of the product without requiring redesign of primer sites. Experiments adding C5, C10 and C15 tails to the cat and the dog primers showed that T_m increased by approximately 1 degree per five C nucleotides added (data not shown). In our assay, DNA fragments for each species were of similar size (excluding polynucleotide tails), so that their T_m was predominantly determined by their nucleotide content. A more effective approach to ensuring that species' T_m s do not overlap would be to place primers so that fragments vary in length as well as nucleotide content. Ribosomal genes such as the 12s ribosomal RNA sequence used here make this relatively straightforward because their stem and loop structure, which includes indels, offers interspersed areas of conservatism for anchor primer placement, and variable regions, which make product's T_m s differ and can place species-specific primers. Some nuclear genes may also be useful targets, particularly those with multiple copies such as internal transcribed spacer (ITS)-1, as these would facilitate use with trace DNA samples.

When empirically determining the species-diagnostic 'benchmark' T_m s, the choice of appropriate standards for each species is of major importance. These need to adequately sample the intraspecific genetic variation for each species, so should be sourced from throughout the relevant geographical range. The significance of this is illustrated by trials of our assay on tiger quoll specimens from mainland Australia. These assays showed extensive overlap in T_m with both the Tasmanian eastern and tiger quolls (Fig. 2d). This result likely reflects the previously described large phylogeographical split between mainland and Tasmanian tiger quolls and the large amount of intraspecific genetic variation present in tiger quolls throughout the entire eastern seaboard of Australia (Firestone *et al.* 1999). Despite this, our assay would still have utility on mainland Australia because eastern quolls are extinct there.

In principle, the MCA approach to species identification allows efficient use of both time and consumables. For example, because MCA requires no post-PCR processing, the total time taken for PCR plus MCA should be less for methods that involve agarose electrophoresis, and significantly less for direct sequencing. Processing is also simplified by associated software that enables genotypes to be called automatically. Savings will also come from the use of fewer consumables. We estimate that the cost of consumables per 25- μ L reaction is approximately AUD\$1.30 (0.77 Euros, US\$0.94) and this may be reduced by dispensing with the prefabricated PCR kit and obtaining the reagents separately. In our experience, this cost is comparable to or less than that required for the more time-consuming methods of species identification. The method will also be less expensive than assays based on sequence-specific molecular beacons

such as *TaqMan* probes (Applied Biosystems) because it does not require a customized probe to be designed and manufactured for each species of interest (e.g. Guiver *et al.* 2001). Rather, so long as complementary species-specific primers with unique melting temperatures can be designed, new species can be added to the multiplex when required.

In summary, we have demonstrated that MCA can provide highly accurate and efficient species identification. Therefore, it should find a range of applications in applied biological research, such as fisheries fraud and wildlife forensics (Baker & Palumbi 1994; Paxinos *et al.* 1997; Shivji *et al.* 2002).

Acknowledgements

We thank the University of Canberra, The Fox-Free Tasmania Taskforce, Parks ACT and Pestat Pty Ltd for financial support. We also thank Niccy Aitken for discussions, and Karen Firestone, Monica Ruibal, Menna Jones, David Pemberton, Paul de Torres, staff at the Melbourne University Veterinary School for tissue samples and staff at the Caversham Wildlife Park for faecal samples. Comments from Gordon Luikart greatly improved the manuscript.

References

- Baker CS, Palumbi SR (1994) Which whales are hunted? A molecular genetic approach to monitoring whaling. *Science*, **265**, 1538–1539.
- Banks SC, Piggott MP, Hansen BD, Robinson NA, Taylor AC (2002) Wombat coprogenetics: enumerating a common wombat population by analysis of faecal DNA. *Australian Journal of Zoology*, **50**, 193–204.
- Breslauer KJ, Frank R, Blocke H, Marky LA (1986) Predicting DNA duplex stability from the base sequence. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA*, **83**, 3746–3750.
- Firestone KB, Elphinstone MS, Sherwin WB, Houlden BA (1999) Phylogeographical population structure of tiger quolls *Dasyurus maculatus* (Dasyuridae: Marsupialia), an endangered canivorous marsupial. *Molecular Ecology*, **8**, 1613–1625.
- Guiver M, Levi K, Oppenheim BA (2001) Rapid identification of *Candida* species by *TaqMan* PCR. *Journal of Clinical Pathology*, **54**, 362–366.
- Liu W, Marsh TL, Cheng H, Forney LJ (1997) Characterization of microbial diversity by determining terminal restriction fragment length polymorphisms of genes encoding 16s rRNA. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **63**, 4516–4522.
- Mills LS, Pilgrim KL, Schwartz MK, McKelvey K (2000) Identifying Lynx and other North American Felids based on mtDNA analysis. *Conservation Genetics*, **1**, 285–288.
- Paxinos E, McIntosh C, Ralls K, Fleisher R (1997) A non-invasive method for distinguishing among canid species: amplification and enzyme restriction of DNA from dung. *Molecular Ecology*, **6**, 483–486.
- Resource Planning and Development Commission (2003) *State of the Environment Tasmania 2003*. Resource Planning and Development Commission, Tasmania, Australia.
- Shivji M, Clarke S, Pank M *et al.* (2002) Genetic identification of pelagic shark body parts for conservation and trade monitoring. *Conservation Biology*, **16**, 1036–1047.
- Wittwer CT, Reed GH, Gundry CN, Vandersteen JG, Pryor RJ (2003) High-resolution genotyping by amplicon melting analysis using LCGreen. *Clinical Chemistry*, **49**, 853–860.